

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/810,858	03/16/2001	Ian J. Forster	4579-116	6167
27820 7	7590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
P.O. BOX 128 CARY, NC 2			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/810,858	FORSTER ET AL.	
V Transcription	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Wimer	2821	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
· _			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three magained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the inn SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ite on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 33-40 and 47-52.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: 41-46.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-32.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:		Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner	Spines
		Art Unit: 2821	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/810,858

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment of Claim 41has added an additional device, i.e., an interrogator with a switch and an antenna within an array coupled to the interrogator, where such a configuration of structure was neither addressed nor considered at the time of final rejection. Such a configuration requires an additional search, discussion of arrays and interrogator technology.